

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,914	01/17/2006	Thomas Vollmer	DE030256	5332	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 12/20/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300	1	FAUTH, JUSTEN			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2836	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/564,914	VOLLMER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JUSTEN FAUTH	2836		

	JUSTEN FAUTH	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFF I 139(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory point will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory point will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Ally reply recawable by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earend pattern from adultant—Res 95 OFFI FI 700 MIN PROVIDED (SX 100 MIN PROVIDED (SX						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ma	y 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowand	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw.</li> </ul>	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	II II OIII COIISIGEI AIIOII.					
6) Claim(s)is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement					
of 23 of air (a) 7-70 and sabject to rectification and or or	ootion roquiroment.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) 🗌 objected to by the f	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priorit</li></ol>	y documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not receive	d.				
	•					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Thotice of informat Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) U Other:	

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group I: claim(s) 2, drawn to a device for reducing electromagnetic radiation with a control circuit for changing the currently measured dissymmetry of the network.

Group II: claim(s) 3, drawn to a device for reducing electromagnetic radiation with a measuring head and a summing point.

Group III: claim(s) 4, drawn to a device for reducing electromagnetic radiation with a controller which is fed with a current transmission signal.

Group IV: claim(s) 6, drawn to a method for reducing electromagnetic radiation with unshielded lines consisting of communication lines, electric installation lines, or power supply lines.

Art Unit: 2836

Group V: claim(s) 8, drawn to a method for reducing electromagnetic radiation with a transmitter for transmitting data having a frequency above the mains frequency, and mains coupling devices for conveying mains coupling voltages.

Group VI: claim(s) 9, drawn to a method for reducing electromagnetic radiation with a first adjustment element and a second adjustment element.

Group VII: claim(s) 10, drawn to a device for reducing electromagnetic radiation with a transmission modem.

Claim 5 does not lack unity of invention with any of the above species and will be examined with the applicant's election of any of Groups I-VII.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic:

Art Unit: 2836

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII posses the common technical features stated in linking claim(s) 1 and 7. However, Groups I-VII lack unity of invention since these common or shared technical features are not special technical features as they do not make a contribution over the prior art. As stated in the International Search Report, WO 93/02518 to Mueller et al. and DE 32 37 919 to Baum discloses all of the limitations for claim(s) 1 and 7. Accordingly, the features which are shared or common to each of the Groups I-VII do not constitute a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2.

Lack of unity has been indicated between dependent claims. The examiner wishes to note that this is proper in view of the disclosure of Mueller and Baum, discussed above. See MPEP 1850: "If, however, an independent claim does not avoid the prior art, then the question whether there is still an inventive link between all the claims dependent on that claim needs to be carefully considered. If there is no link remaining, an objection of lack of unity a posteriori (that is, arising only after assessment of the prior art) may be raised."

#### REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of

Art Unit: 2836

the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

# WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
  - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

Art Unit: 2836

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action lack unity of invention for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and/or examination burden if restriction were not required because at least the following reason(s) apply:

- --the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification
- --the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter
- --the inventions require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes /subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search strategies or search queries).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement Application/Control Number: 10/564,914 Page 7

Art Unit: 2836

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUSTEN FAUTH whose telephone number is (571) 270-5471. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jared Fureman can be reached on 571-272-2391. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2836

12/15/10

/Jared J. Fureman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836